

1:07-CV-00616-SRW REEVES V DSI
SECURITY SERVICES et al

2008 JAN 10 A 9:14

DEBRA F. HACKETT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

Appeal of Magistrate Judge
Decision to District Court
ORDER # 62-1 with Supporting
Memorandum

Memorandum In Support of Appeal
of Magistrate Judge Decision to Court
ORDER # 62-1

2008 JAN 10 AM 9:14
DONALD P. HACKETT, CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

I am appealing ORDER # 62-1 and asking the Honorable Judge to examine the Document I am Submitting and to reverse the previous decision. Rule 26 1(c) states that I should have access to all material bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered. A lawyer cannot claim that all information other than a Charge File is privileged. I am asking for all information that relates to my case by EEOC. I was giving a redacted charge files with tons of information missing that should have been in the file that was not redacted. Under the Freedom of Information Act I could request all redacted information. I am being deprived of that right. As a Pro Se Lawyer with a case against EEOC

Case 1:07-cv-00616-MHT-SRW Document 67 Filed 01/10/2008 Page 3 of 5
this and all other information should certainly be
available to me.

EEOC has shown a tendency to deceptive, collusive,
and foot dragging through out my case. I think revealing
all communication in this case will only shed light on a
prejudice effort through out by EEOC. This information
and all other would be beneficial to my case.

I am asking the Honorable Judge to Consider Document
already submitted "Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff
Motion to Reconsider Order Staying Discovery".

1/9/08

Roger Reeves
B-12 Chatt. Court
Enterprise, Ala.

Certificate of Service

I hereby Certify that on Jan 9, 2008
I file with the Court a Copy of
this Document.

Roger Reeves

1/9/2008